re-21 145

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -13

Name of the district: Aurangabad (Bihar)

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HOUSE OF PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report!

S.No.	Description	To be filled up	by the DEO	
1	Name & address of the candidate	BIJEET KUMAR		
		A /83 Birla Colony,		
		Fulwarisharif		
		700		
<u>2. </u>	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary	37-AURANGABAD		
	Constituency	CATCHAIR AND C	INICII	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	SUSHIL KUMAR S	INGH	
5	Date of declaration of result	16.05.14		
6 [.]	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	15.06.14		
7.	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	Account not lodged		
8.	Whether account lodged by the candidate	NO		
	is in the prescribed format (Yes or No)			
(a)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(b)	Defects noticed in the format by the DEO	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects	
(i)	Abstract statement (Part to IV and			
(1)	schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly	-	As in sl. no- 7	
	signed			
(ii)	Duly sworn in Affidavit of the candidate		do	
(11)	not filed		uo	
(iii)	Register of day to day accounts along with		do	
	bank register and cash register not duly	•		
	signed by the candidate			
(iv)	Vouchers in respect of items of election		do	
(* ')	expenditure not submitted/not signed by	-		
	the candidate of his election agent			
(v)	Self Certified copy of the Statement of		do	
. ,	Bank Account for election expenses not	_	1	
	submitted			
(vi)	All receipts for election expenses not		do	
(- ~)	deposited in the aforesaid back a/c and all			
	payments except petty expenses not mode	-		
	by cheque.	ĺ	İ	

(144

Ì	S.No			onintian	_				
٠,	9.	Description Grand Total of all election averages by the		To be filled up by the DEO					
	,	candidate as in	Grand Total of all election expenses by the		do				
- !		candidate as mentioned in Part-II of the							
ŀ	10 (a)	Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate.							
-	10 (a)		Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the			do			
ı		the candidate of	corres	pond with the					
		expenses show	min t	he Shadow					
-	·	Observation R	egiste	er and Folder c	\mathbf{f}				
ļ		Evidence (Yes	or N	o)	•				
	(b)				do				
	-	expenditure ha	expenditure has been understated/not						
		mentioned at a	ill by	the candidate					
			Date	Page No. of	Men	tion	Asr	or the	Amount d.
		expenditure		Shadow			_	er the	Amount under
1			i i	1 13	amount			ount	stated by the
			.!	Observation	the Sh			nitted	Candidate
				register	Observ		, ,	the	
			17.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Register		cand	lidate	
\vdash				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of evic	lence			
				1. The second of					
		v v	X.						
İ									
	-	Backent Editor	di l						'
-			38.		<u> </u>				
		Jan Tan	186 186					·]	i
l	·							1	
L					•			i	. '
	- 1		t:				· · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · ·
	J					ĺ			
	.								
				- }```					
	ĺ					· i			
			:						
		Total			·				
						İ			,
1	1.	Did the candidat	e pro	duce his Regis	ter of	L		NO	
	-	Election Expend	iture	for inspection	by the			ne time	
(a)	Observer/RO (Y	es or	No 13 times	during		· ·	ne mie	
	´ ,	campaign period	i.		during				
-(Was any discrep		pointed out to	the		<i>(</i> :)	Coalim	Domlo
`		candidate at the t					(i)		Bank register
		repristed by the O	hoo	ATTEMPOONUT O				not rec	
		register by the O	USCIV	ci., 11 Tes, mer	nnon			proper	
	'	he discrepancy?					(ii)		Day register
		177					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	incomp	olete
(c)	Was a notice per	tainin	g to any discre	epancy			Y	ES
	j	in expenditure given to the candidate by				Dated-(2.04.14		
	j t	the RO. ? Please mention date and nature							
	0	of discrepancy.		·· · · ·	·				
							•		
		For the descended for the					•		
		lication Error		Andrew Communication of the Co					
	•	Lunia (R.)		Mariana Mariana				•	
								•	
	4	edition in the first							
		·		•				-	

(d)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (Please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received).	YES
12.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes or No)	YES
13.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by Political Party as mentioned in Part-III of the Abstract Statement. Also mention name of the party.	As in sl. no- 7
14.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person/entity. Also mention name of the party	do
15.	Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? Please mention date and name of person.	NO
	SEAL: जिला निर्माचन प्राधिकारी हह जिला पराधिकारी कोरणाबाद Date: 474	Signature (Name of the DEO)

Date



Note for item No. 10 (b):

- 1. Please mention details of particular events where expenditure is under reported vis-a-vis the Shadow Observation Register.
- 2. If practicable, please attach separate annexure indicating item wise break up of expenditure

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

			,	
		18		
		1 (4) 3 (1)		
				1
	And Ac 114 h	1		my.
ate:	17/6/2019	i (Marian) La Migulian		Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	- Salah da da da da da da da da da da da da da			

Forwa	rded to the Election Commission of India by the CEO-	
Date:		
	Signature of the Chief Electoral Officer**	

^{**}If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.